

ON GRAPHS WITH REPRESENTATION NUMBER 3

SERGEY KITAEV

*Department of Computer and Information Sciences, University of Strathclyde
26 Richmond Street, Glasgow, G1 1XH, United Kingdom
e-mail: sergey.kitaev@cis.strath.ac.uk*

ABSTRACT

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is word-representable if there exists a word w over the alphabet V such that letters x and y alternate in w if and only if (x, y) is an edge in E . A graph is word-representable if and only if it is k -word-representable for some k , that is, if there exists a word containing k copies of each letter that represents the graph. Also, being k -word-representable implies being $(k + 1)$ -word-representable. The minimum k such that a word-representable graph is k -word-representable, is called graph's representation number.

Graphs with representation number 1 are complete graphs, while graphs with representation number 2 are circle graphs. The only fact known before this paper on the class of graphs with representation number 3, denoted by \mathcal{R}_3 , is that the Petersen graph and triangular prism belong to this class. In this paper, we show that any prism belongs to \mathcal{R}_3 , and that two particular operations of extending graphs preserve the property of being in \mathcal{R}_3 . Further, we show that \mathcal{R}_3 is not included in a class of c -colorable graphs for a constant c . To this end, we extend three known results related to operations on graphs.

We also show that ladder graphs used in the study of prisms are 2-word-representable, and thus each ladder graph is a circle graph. Finally, we discuss k -word-representing comparability graphs via consideration of crown graphs, where we state some problems for further research.

Keywords: word-representable graph, representation number, prism, ladder graph, circle graph, crown graph, comparability graph

1. Introduction

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is word-representable if there exists a word w over the alphabet $V = V(G)$ such that letters x and y alternate in w if and only if (x, y) is an edge in $E = E(G)$. It follows from definitions that word-representable graphs are a *hereditary class* of graphs. A comprehensive introduction to the theory of word-representable graphs is given in [3].

A graph is word-representable if and only if it is k -word-representable for some k , that is, if there exists a word containing k copies of each letter that represents the graph (see Theorem 3). By Proposition 4, being k -word-representable implies being $(k + 1)$ -word-representable. The minimum k such that a word-representable graph G is k -word-representable, is called *graph's representation number*. This number is